

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

AIRPRO DIAGNOSTICS, LLC, a  
Florida limited liability company,

Case No. 22-12969-LVP-EAS

Plaintiff,

Honorable Linda V. Parker

v.

Magistrate Judge: Elizabeth A.  
Stafford

DREW TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a  
Michigan corporation, OPUS IVS,  
INC., a Delaware corporation,  
AUTOENGINIUTY, LLC, an Arizona  
limited liability corporation, and  
BRIAN HERRON, an individual,

Defendants.

and

OPUS IVS, INC.

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff,  
v.

AIRPRO DIAGNOSTICS, LLC, a  
Florida limited liability company,

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant.

---

Adam J. Brody (P62035)  
Ziyad I. Hermiz (P72236)  
Francesca L. Parnham (P87300)  
VARNUM LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-  
Defendant  
Bridgewater Place  
333 Bridge Street NW, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 352  
Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352

William J. Stapleton (P37339)  
HOOPER HATHAWAY, PC  
Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-  
Plaintiff  
126 S. Main Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 662-4426  
[wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com](mailto:wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com)

---

(616) 336-6000  
ajbrody@varnumlaw.com  
zihermiz@varnumlaw.com  
flparnham@varnumlaw.com

Samuel A. Slater (#43212)  
T. Nelson Hughes, Jr. (#59342)  
WYRICK ROBBINS YATES &  
PONTON LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-  
Plaintiff  
4101 Lake Boone Trail, Suite 300  
Raleigh, NC 27607  
(919) 781-4000  
[sslater@wyrick.com](mailto:sslater@wyrick.com)  
[nhughes@wyrick.com](mailto:nhughes@wyrick.com)

---

**JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER**

The parties, by and through their counsel, hereby respectfully move this Court to modify the Scheduling Order (Phase I) (ECF Nos. 27, 34) extending the deadlines for Facilitation to Occur and to File Dispositive Motions by sixty (60) days. The current deadline for Facilitation to Occur is November 26, 2024, and the current deadline to File Dispositive Motions is December 17, 2024.

Good cause for the requested extensions exists for the reasons set forth in the parties' Joint Memorandum in Support of their Joint Motion to Modify Scheduling Order. The parties have concurred in the relief requested as required by Local Rule 7.1(a).

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the deadline for Facilitation to Occur through and including January 25, 2025, and that the Court extend the deadline to File Dispositive Motions through and including February 15, 2025.

Dated: November 22, 2024

BY: /s/ Adam J. Brody  
Adam J. Brody (P62035)  
Ziyad I. Hermiz (P72236)  
Francesca L. Parnham (P87300)  
VARNUM LLP  
Bridgewater Place  
333 Bridge Street NW, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 352  
Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352  
(616) 336-6000  
[ajbrody@varnumlaw.com](mailto:ajbrody@varnumlaw.com)  
[zihermiz@varnumlaw.com](mailto:zihermiz@varnumlaw.com)  
[fparnham@varnumlaw.com](mailto:fparnham@varnumlaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant*

Dated: November 22, 2024

BY: /s/ Samuel A. Slater  
WYRICK ROBBINS YATES & PONTON LLP  
Samuel A. Slater (NC Bar No. 43212)  
T. Nelson Hughes, Jr. (NC Bar No. 59342)  
4101 Lake Boone Trail, Suite 300  
Raleigh, NC 27607  
(919) 781-4000  
[sslater@wyrick.com](mailto:sslater@wyrick.com)  
[NHughes@wyrick.com](mailto:NHughes@wyrick.com)

BY: /s/ William J. Stapleton  
HOOPER HATHAWAY, PC  
William J. Stapleton (P37339)  
Attorneys for Defendants  
126 S. Main Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 662-4426  
[wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com](mailto:wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

AIRPRO DIAGNOSTICS, LLC, a  
Florida limited liability company,

Case No. 22-12969-LVP-EAS

Plaintiff,

Honorable Linda V. Parker

v.

Magistrate Judge: Elizabeth A.  
Stafford

DREW TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a  
Michigan corporation, OPUS IVS,  
INC., a Delaware corporation,  
AUTOENGINIUTY, LLC, an Arizona  
limited liability corporation, and  
BRIAN HERRON, an individual,

Defendants.  
and  
OPUS IVS, INC.

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff,  
v.

AIRPRO DIAGNOSTICS, LLC, a  
Florida limited liability company,

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant.

---

Adam J. Brody (P62035)  
Ziyad I. Hermiz (P72236)  
Francesca L. Parnham (P87300)  
VARNUM LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-  
Defendant  
Bridgewater Place  
333 Bridge Street NW, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 352  
Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352

William J. Stapleton (P37339)  
HOOPER HATHAWAY, PC  
Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-  
Plaintiff  
126 S. Main Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 662-4426  
[wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com](mailto:wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com)

---

(616) 336-6000  
ajbrody@varnumlaw.com  
zihermiz@varnumlaw.com  
flparnham@varnumlaw.com

Samuel A. Slater (#43212)  
T. Nelson Hughes, Jr. (#59342)  
WYRICK ROBBINS YATES &  
PONTON LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-  
Plaintiff  
4101 Lake Boone Trail, Suite 300  
Raleigh, NC 27607  
(919) 781-4000  
[sslater@wyrick.com](mailto:sslater@wyrick.com)  
[nhughes@wyrick.com](mailto:nhughes@wyrick.com)

---

**JOINT MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION TO MODIFY  
SCHEDULING ORDER**

Pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4), the parties respectfully submit that good cause exists for the requested modification of the Scheduling Order, and request that the Court grant their motion. This request is reasonable and is made to permit the parties sufficient time to prepare for and conduct a meaningful mediation of this case before preparing and filing dispositive motions. The parties believe that the chances of settlement at mediation are reasonable.

**APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARD**

“A schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge’s consent.” FED. R. CIV. PROC. 16(b)(4). “The primary measure of Rule 16’s ‘good cause’ standard is the moving party’s diligence in attempting to meet the case management order’s requirements.” *See Inge v. Rock Fin. Corp.*, 281 F.3d 613, 625 (6th Cir. 2002).

## ARGUMENT

On September 9, 2024, the parties submitted a proposed Second Stipulated Order for Extension of Deadlines. On September 12, 2024, the Court declined the requested extension, encouraging the parties to complete discovery by November 5, 2024. Consistent with the Court's request, the parties completed discovery on November 5, 2024, which entailed the parties taking thirteen (13) depositions in October and early November. The parties are in the process of reviewing those depositions transcripts, of which there are thousands of pages, and making appropriate confidentiality designations consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this action. (*See* ECF No. 33).

As of September 9, 2024, the date the parties submitted a proposed Second Stipulated Order for Extension of Deadlines, the parties had not stipulated to extending the deadlines in the Scheduling Order more than 90 days. The deadlines in the Scheduling Order still have not been extended more than 90 days, and the parties believe this requested extension of the deadlines for Facilitation to Occur and to File Dispositive Motions is warranted for the good cause shown in this Memorandum. There is not a trial date set at this time.

The Parties have selected a mediator and identified a date—January 15, 2025—that works for all counsel, parties, and the mediator to meet and conduct the facilitation in this case. The parties respectfully submit that, in light of the

voluminous document discovery in this case (over 73,000 pages of documents produced) and the parties' significant efforts undertaken to complete discovery by November 5, 2024, the parties have been reasonably diligent in attempting to meet the requirements of the Scheduling Order. Accordingly, good cause exists for the requested extensions.

Good cause further exists because the parties have a mediation of this case scheduled for January 15, 2025, and have submitted a Stipulated Order to the Court referring this case to mediation. The parties believe settlement prospects at mediation are reasonable, and the parties desire to focus their efforts on potential case resolution before undertaking the significant time and expense associated with dispositive motions.

WHEREFORE, for good cause shown, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the deadline for Facilitation to Occur through and including January 25, 2025, and that the Court extend the deadline to File Dispositive Motions through and including February 15, 2025.

Dated: November 22, 2024

BY: /s/ Adam J. Brody

Adam J. Brody (P62035)

Ziyad I. Hermiz (P72236)

Francesca L. Parnham (P87300)

VARNUM LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Bridgewater Place

333 Bridge Street NW, 17th Floor

P.O. Box 352

Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0352  
(616) 336-6000  
[ajbrody@varnumlaw.com](mailto:ajbrody@varnumlaw.com)  
[zihermiz@varnumlaw.com](mailto:zihermiz@varnumlaw.com)  
[flparnham@varnumlaw.com](mailto:flparnham@varnumlaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant*

Dated: November 22, 2024

BY: /s/ Samuel A. Slater  
WYRICK ROBBINS YATES & PONTON LLP  
Samuel A. Slater (NC Bar No. 43212)  
T. Nelson Hughes, Jr. (NC Bar No. 59342)  
4101 Lake Boone Trail, Suite 300  
Raleigh, NC 27607  
(919) 781-4000  
[sslater@wyrick.com](mailto:sslater@wyrick.com)  
[NHughes@wyrick.com](mailto:NHughes@wyrick.com)

BY: /s/ William J. Stapleton  
HOOPER HATHAWAY, PC  
William J. Stapleton (P37339)  
Attorneys for Defendants  
126 S. Main Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 662-4426  
[wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com](mailto:wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on November 22, 2024, a copy of the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send notification to all counsel of record.

BY: /s/ William J. Stapleton  
HOOPER HATHAWAY, PC  
William J. Stapleton (P37339)  
Attorney for Defendants  
126 S. Main Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 662-4426  
[wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com](mailto:wstapleton@hooperhathaway.com)